# Regioselective Cross-Couplings of Coumarins and Flavones with Ethers via  $C(sp^3)$ –H Functionalization

Ben Niu,<sup>†</sup> Wannian Zhao,<sup>†</sup> Yingcai Ding,<sup>†</sup> Zhaogang Bian,<sup>†</sup> Charles U. Pittman Jr.,<sup>‡</sup> Aihua Zhou,\*<sup>,†</sup> and Haibo Ge\*,§

† Pharmacy Schoo[l, J](#page-6-0)iangsu University, Xuefu Road 301, Zhenjiang City, Jiangsu 212013, China

‡ Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762, United States

§ Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Indiana University Purdue University, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, United States

**S** Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: Coumarin and flavone derivatives are highly valuable molecules in drug discovery. Here, two new regioselective cross-dehydrogenation couplings of coumarins and flavones with different ethers via C(sp<sup>3</sup>)−H functionalization processes were developed, generating new ether-substituted derivatives not previously reported. These reactions proceeded well via radical mechanisms and provided the corresponding products in good yields.

T ransition-metal-catalyzed C−H functionalization has<br>become a powerful strategy for the construction of<br>carbon-carbon and carbon-beteration bonds<sup>1</sup> One such carbon−carbon and carbon−heteroatom bonds.<sup>1</sup> One such protocol, the cross-dehydrogenation coupling between two different partners, has attracted significant attenti[o](#page-6-0)n in recent years.<sup>2</sup> These reactions can annex two partners directly via oxidation of one C−H bond from each of the two coupling partn[er](#page-6-0)s, thereby providing an efficient and simple way to generate target molecules in minimum synthetic steps compared with traditional cross-couplings that often require the use of prefunctionalized halides and organometallic reagents as reactants.<sup>3</sup> However, cross-dehydrogenation couplings are still limited by finding suitable reaction partners and challenged by the [d](#page-6-0)ifficulties associated with controlling product regioselectivities.<sup>4</sup>

Coumarins and flavones are two well-known natural product classes in drug discov[er](#page-6-0)y with carbonyl-conjugated olefin functions in their structures.<sup>5</sup> They are suitable partners for cross-dehydrogenation coupling, where adding new substituents could create new biol[o](#page-6-0)gical activity worthy of investigation.<sup>6</sup> Many coumarin and flavone derivatives with substituents on their  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -positions were achieved via C− H bon[d](#page-6-0) functionalization using precious Pd, Rh, and Ru catalysts.<sup>7</sup> However, only a few cross-dehydrogenation couplings were carried out to synthesize these derivatives using ra[dic](#page-6-0)al mechanisms. Recently, Antonchick, <sup>8</sup> Zou,<sup>9</sup> and Han<sup>10</sup> et al. reported cross-dehydrogenation couplings of coumarins and flavones with alkanes or sodiu[m](#page-6-0) trifl[u](#page-6-0)oromet[ha](#page-6-0)nesulfinate to generate alkyl- and trifluoromethylsubstituted derivatives (Scheme1). However, there are no reports of using ethers as the coupling partners so far.

In this paper, two new cross-[de](#page-1-0)hydrogenation couplings of coumarins and flavones with different ethers via  $\hat{C(sp^3)}$ -H functionalization are reported. Ethers are cheap and readily available building blocks, whose  $\alpha$ -H is easily oxidized to generate a radical in the presence of peroxide.<sup>11</sup> Based on prior literature precedent, $12$  we first sought suitable reaction conditions for the couplings of coumar[ins](#page-6-0) with ethers. Coumarin and 1,4-d[iox](#page-6-0)ane were used as the representative reactants, and different catalysts, oxidants, and solvents were screened (Table 1). First, CuCl<sub>2</sub> and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> were used as catalysts in benzene, and TBHP (tert-butyl hydroperoxide, 70 wt % in water) [wa](#page-1-0)s employed as an oxidant. Neither reaction gave the expected product 3a (entries 1 and 2). Using  $Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$  as a catalyst in benzene with a combination of TBHP/DABCO provided a 51% yield of 3a (entry 3).  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>$ with TBHP/DBU in benzene only produced a 35% yield of 3a (entry 4). When  $Cu(OAc)_2$  was employed with TBHP/DBU or DTBP (tert-butyl peroxide)/DBU, less than 5% of 3a was observed (entries 5 and 6). The TBHP/DBU combination in the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> afforded a trace amount of  $3a$  (entry 7), while the combination of TBHP/DABCO in EtOAc with FeCl3 afforded a 35% yield of 3a (entry 8). The combination of TBHP/DABCO in a solvent-free environment or in toluene

Received: April 10, 2015 Published: June 8, 2015

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: catalysts (10 mol %), ether (0.5 mL), coumarin (1 equiv), TBHP (70 wt % in water, 3.0 equiv), DTBP (3 equiv), benzene  $(0.5 \text{ mL})$ , DABCO or DBU  $(1.0 \text{ equiv})$ ,  $120 \text{ °C}$ .  $\text{b}$  Isolated yield of product was based on the reactant coumarin. These reactions were run for 36 h.

with  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  afforded 3a in 50 and 45% yields, respectively (entries 9 and 10). TBHP/DABCO in benzene with  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  as a catalyst gave a 65% yield (entry 11). When TBHP or DABCO was used separately, both reactions gave the trace amount of expected product 3a (entries 12 and 13). After screening, the suitable conditions selected for the coupling of ether and coumarin are FeCl<sub>3</sub> (10.0 mol %), ether (0.5 mL), coumarin (1.0 equiv), TBHP (3.0 equiv), and DABCO (1.0 equiv) in benzene.

After suitable reaction conditions were determined, representative reactions of different ethers with various coumarins were examined and moderate to good yields of ethersubstituted coumarin derivatives 3a−n were obtained (Table 2) with high regioselectivities. However, the electron-withdrawing nitro-substituted coumarin did not react with 1,4 dioxane, failing to give 3i. Interestingly, a different phenomenon was observed with the use of dibenzylether. As the coupling partner, instead of generating ether-substituted derivatives, the benzoyl-substituted product 3j was produced regioselectively in a 67% yield.

Based on the chemical shifts displayed in the  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of each ether-substituted coumarin derivative, the ether substrate was bonded to the more electron-rich  $\alpha$ position of the coumarin ester.

To find suitable conditions for ether/flavone coupling, flavone 4a and 1,4-dioxane were used as the representative reactants. Different catalysts, oxidants, and solvents were screened. The coupling conditions used for coumarins and ethers also worked well for the coupling of flavone with 1,4 dioxane. This reaction gave a 65% yield of product 5a (Table 3, entry 1). Switching from DABCO to DBU reduced the yield slightly to 60% (entry 2).  $\text{CuBr}_2$  and  $\text{CuCl}_2$  were used with t[he](#page-2-0) combination of TBHP/DABCO, but 5a was not observed in either case (entries 3 and 4). When CuI,  $Cu(OAc)_{2}$ , CuBr, or  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  was used with TBHP/DABCO, only 20, 10, 30, or 10% yields of 5a were produced (entries 5, 6, 7, and 8). Surprisingly, using CuO as the catalyst succeeded, affording a 68% yield of product 5a (entry 9). In addition, a moderate yield of 5a was obtained with  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  in EtOAc and DCE (entries 10 and 11). When TBHP or DABCO was used separately, both reactions gave the trace amount of expected product 5a in the presence of CuO catalyst (entries 12 and 13). Based on these screening results, the conditions chosen for the coupling of ethers with flavones were as follows: CuO (10.0 mol %), flavone (1.0 equiv), ether (1.0 mL), TBHP (70 wt % in water, 3.0 equiv), and DABCO  $(1.0 \text{ equiv})$  at 120 °C for 36 h.

Using the optimal reaction conditions found above, some representative flavones were reacted with various ethers (Table 4). As expected, these cross-dehydrogenation couplings gave ether-substituted flavone derivatives 5a−m in 55−79% isolated [yi](#page-3-0)elds. Noticeably, one major product was detected and isolated in each reaction, which was the coupling of ethers to the electron-deficient β-position on the flavones.

To explain these results, understanding the reaction mechanism is necessary. To determine if radicals are involved

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2. Syntheses of Ether-Substituted Coumarin Derivatives<sup>a</sup>



a<br>Reaction conditions: catalyst (10 mol %), ether (0.5 mL), coumarin (1.0 equiv), TBHP (70 wt % in water, 3.0 equiv), and DBU (1.0 equiv) in benzene (0.5 mL) at 120 °C for 36 h. Isolated yields of 3a−n were based on the reactant coumarin.



|                | ٠<br>4a           | Cat. <sub>,</sub> [O]/L<br>solvent<br>$120^{\circ}$ C | n          | 5а                     |
|----------------|-------------------|---|------------|------------------------|
| entry          | catalyst          | oxidant/ligand  | solvent    | yield <sup>b</sup> (%) |
| 1              | FeCl <sub>3</sub> | TBHP, DABCO   |            | 65                     |
| $\mathfrak{2}$ | FeCl <sub>3</sub> | TBHP, DBU   |            | 60                     |
| 3              | CuBr <sub>2</sub> | TBHP, DABCO   |            | trace                  |
| $\overline{4}$ | CuCl <sub>2</sub> | TBHP, DABCO   |            | trace                  |
| 5              | CuI               | TBHP, DABCO   |            | 20                     |
| 6              | Cu(OAc)           | TBHP, DABCO   |            | 10                     |
| 7              | CuBr              | TBHP, DABCO   |            | 30                     |
| 8              | Cu, O             | TBHP, DABCO   |            | 10                     |
| 9              | CuO               | TBHP, DABCO   |            | 68                     |
| 10             | FeCl <sub>3</sub> | TBHP, DABCO   | EtOAc      | 45                     |
| 11             | FeCl <sub>3</sub> | TBHP, DABCO   | <b>DCE</b> | 43                     |
| 12             | CuO               | <b>DABCO</b>  |            | trace                  |
| 13             | CuO               | <b>TBHP</b>   |            | trace                  |

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: catalysts (10 mol %), ether (1.0 mL), flavone (1.0 equiv), TBHP (70 wt % in water, 3.0 equiv), DABCO, or DBU  $(1.0 \text{ equiv})$ ,  $120 \text{ °C}$ .  $b$  Isolated yield of product was based on the reactant flavone. These reactions were run for 36 h.

in these two couplings, TEMPO  $((2,2,6,6$ -tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl) was used as a radical scavenger in these reactions. In the presence of TEMPO, no coupling products were observed, indicating that radicals are involved in both of these couplings. Based on these results, a plausible mechanism is proposed (Scheme 2). Heat splits TBHP into two radicals that extract a hydrogen atom from the  $\alpha$ -position of ether. The nucleophilic ether radi[ca](#page-3-0)l can attack either the  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -position of coumarin's olefin substrate, generating radical intermediate A or B. The benzylic radical A is more stable than the radical species B, and thus, radical A is more easily formed than radical B. Therefore, only  $\alpha$ -ether-substituted coumarin derivatives 3 were regiospecifically obtained with coumarins.

The ether radical also has two choices to add to the  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ position of the flavone's unsaturated ketone function. The ether radical is a relatively electron-rich radical with some nucleophilicity. Thus, it is more prone to react at the electron-deficient  $\beta$ -position of the vinylogous ester instead of the relatively electron-rich  $\alpha$ -position. The  $\alpha$ -position is also more electron-rich due to resonance from the ring's oxygen atom. Also, the ring oxygen's electronegativity further reduces electron density at the  $\beta$ -carbon. After the ether radical has added to the  $\beta$ -position, radical C is formed, which is then oxidized to give 5.

To provide some insight into the reaction mechanism, couplings of  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -methyl-substituted coumarins (1k and 1l) or flavones (4j and 4k) with 1,4-dioxane were also carried out (Scheme 3). For 1k and 4j, in which the preferred reaction sites were blocked by the methyl group, either no or trace amount of desired p[ro](#page-4-0)duct was observed. For coumarin 1l and flavone 4k, in which the preferred reaction sites are available, the desired products 3l and 5k were obtained in 75 and 50% yield, respectively. These results support the proposed mechanistic

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 4. Syntheses of Ether-Substituted Flavone Derivatives<sup> $a$ </sup>



a<br>Reaction conditions: CuO (10 mol %), flavone (1.0 equiv), ether (1.0 mL), TBHP (70 wt % in water, 3.0 equiv), and DABCO (1.0 equiv) at 120 °C for 36 h. Isolated yield of product was based on the reactant flavones 4.

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism for Cross-Dehydrogenation Coupling of Coumarins and Flavones with Ethers



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme 3. Couplings of Coumarin and Flavone with 1,4- Dioxane



explanation in Scheme 2. It should be mentioned that the relatively low yield for 4j is presumably due to the steric effect from the neighboring m[et](#page-3-0)hyl group.

# ■ CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed two regioselective and atom economical cross-dehydrogenation couplings of ethers with coumarins and flavones via  $C(sp^3)$ –H functionalization. These processes gave two new types of ether-substituted derivatives with the ether substituent on  $\alpha$ -positions of coumarins and  $\beta$ positions of flavones, respectively, in good yields and high regioselectivities. Both reactions proceeded via radical addition mechanisms. Despite the above advantages, the method is still limited in scope; the major drawback is that excess ether is used. Investigation of the biological activities of these products is currently underway.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 3a−j and 3l−3n. To a dry thick-walled glass pressure tube were added coumarin (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), DABCO (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ether  $(0.5 \text{ mL})$ , and benzene (anhydrous,  $0.5 \text{ mL}$ ). Then, FeCl<sub>3</sub>  $(0.1 \text{ mmol}$ , 10 mol %) and TBHP (3.0 mmol, 3 equiv, 70 wt % in water) were added into the tube. The mixture was stirred at 120  $^{\circ}$ C for 36 h. After that, the reaction mixture was quenched with brine and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried (anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ), and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1:30) on silica gel to provide the desired product 3a as a colorless oil in a 74% yield; the same procedure was applied for producing other compounds 3b−h, 3j, and 3l−3n.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 5a−i and 5k−5m. Flavone (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ether (1.0 mL), and DABCO (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were added to a thick-walled pressure tube, and then CuO (0.1 mmol, 10 mol %) and TBHP (3.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv, 70 wt % in water) were also added. The mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 36 h. Then reaction was quenched with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were then combined, washed with brine, dried (anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ), and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/

petroleum ether = 1:30) on silica gel to give the desired product 5a as a colorless oil in a 68% yield. The same procedure was applied for producing other compounds 5b−i and 5k−5m.

3-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3a): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (171.7 mg, 74%) as colorless oil; IR 2961, 1721, 1635, 1457, 1288, 1058, 756 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 2H), 7.29 (s, 2H), 4.76 (m, 1H), 4.26 (m, 1H),3.96 (m, 2H), 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.68 (m, 1H), 3.25 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  159.9, 153.2, 139.2, 131.5, 128.0, 126.1, 124.6, 119.0, 116.5, 72.7, 71.0, 67.3, 66.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}NaO_4$  255.0633  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 255.0624.

3-(1-Ethoxyethyl)-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3b): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (153.2 mg, 66%) as colorless oil; IR 3148, 2927, 1716, 1399, 1279, 994 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.76 (s, 1H), 7.32–7.22 (m, 3H), 4.62 (dd, J = 12.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56−3.51 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.45 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  161.1, 151.3, 137.1, 134.1, 132.1, 131.5, 127.7, 119.1, 116.2, 72.2, 64.7, 21.6, 20.8, 15.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{16}NaO_3$  255.0997 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> , found 255.0984.

3-(Tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3c): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (140.5 mg, 65%) as colorless oil; IR 2972, 1718, 1608, 1457, 1283, 1021, 757 cm $^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.51−7.25 (m, 4H), 4.98−4.95 (m, 1H), 4.14− 4.09 (m, 1H), 3.96 (dd, J = 15.2, 7.2 Hz), 2.57−2.48 (m, 1H), 2.05− 1.91 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.72 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 160.5, 153.1, 136.4, 131.1, 130.9, 127.8, 124.4, 119.3, 116.4, 75.9, 68.9, 32.2, 25.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}NaO_3$  239.0684  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 239.0679.

6-Methyl-3-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3d): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (161.1 mg, 70%) as colorless oil; IR 2926, 1716, 1635, 1457, 1281, 1024, 817 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.73 (d, J = 0.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28−7.19 (m, 3H), 4.94 (dd, J = 12.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.13–4.07 (m, 1H), 3.95 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55−2.46 (m, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.04−1.91 (m, 2H), 1.80−1.73 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 160.7, 151.2, 136.4, 134.0, 131.9, 127.6, 119.0, 116.1, 76.0, 68.9, 32.2, 25.6, 20.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 231.1021 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, , found 231.1014.

3-(1-Ethoxyethyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3e): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (135.2 mg, 62%) as colorless oil; IR 2975, 2927, 1724, 1635, 1489, 1174, 1072, 782 cm $^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.55−7.50 (m, 2H), 7.37−7.30 (m, 2H), 4.66− 4.61 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.52 (m, 2H), 1.44 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  160.8, 153.1, 137.1, 131.6, 131.1, 127.9, 124.4, 119.3, 116.4, 72.2, 64.7, 21.5, 15.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{15}O_3$  219.1021  $(M + H)^+$ , found 219.1021.

3-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-6-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3f): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (177.2 mg, 72%) as colorless oil; IR 2918, 1717, 1636, 1436, 1291, 1035, 818 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.80 (s, 1H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.77−4.74 (m, 1H), 4.25 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99−3.89  $(m, 2H)$ , 3.82 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.71–3.64  $(m, 1H)$ , 3.22 (t, J = 1.6) Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  160.1, 151.3, 139.2, 134.3, 132.5, 127.8, 125.9, 118.7, 116.2, 72.7, 71.0. 67.3, 66.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 247.0970 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, , found 247.0969.

6-Methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3g): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (158.7 mg, 65%) as colorless oil; IR 2955, 1733, 1616, 1493, 1283, 1054, 813 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.29-7.19 (m, 3H), 4.46 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.19−4.15 (m, 1H), 3.68−3.61 (m, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.22−2.19 (m, 1H), 1.93−1.90 (m, 1H), 1.74−1.60 (m, 3H), 1.29−1.20 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 160.5, 151.2, 137.4, 134.0, 132.0, 130.7, 127.7, 119.1, 116.1, 74.6, 69.1, 32.2, 26.0, 23.6, 20.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}NaO_3$  267.0997  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 267.0988.

6-Methoxy-3-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3h): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (152.6 mg, 62%) as colorless oil; IR 2924, 1716, 1579, 1431, 1262, 1021, 817 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.77 (s, 1H), 7.76–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.08– 7.05 (m, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.98−4.95 (m, 1H), 4.14−4.09  $(m, 1H)$ , 3.93 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.57–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.06 (dd, J = 12.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.99−1.91 (m, 1H), 1.89−1.78 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  160.7, 156.1, 147.5, 136.2, 131.4, 119.6, 118.6, 117.4, 109.9, 76.0, 68.9, 55.8, 32.3, 25.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{15}O_4$  247.0970  $(M + H)^+$ , found 247.0969.

3-Benzoyl-2H-chromen-2-one  $(3j)$ : Following the general procedure, isolated yield (167.5 mg, 67%) as colorless oil; IR 3063, 1717, 1607, 1493, 1243, 1055, 813 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.70–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.36 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 160.5, 151.2, 137.4, 134.0, 132.0, 130.7, 127.7, 119.1, 116.1, 74.6, 69.1, 32.2, 26.0, 23.6, 20.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{16}H_{10}NaO_3$ 273.0528 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> , found 273.0519.

3-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3l): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (196.5 mg, 75%) as colorless oil; IR 3261, 2963, 1699, 1617, 1570, 1263, 1110, 923 cm<sup>-1</sup>;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMB (MeOD, 400 MHz) δ 7 65 (d, I – 8 0 Hz, 1H) 6 82 (dd, I – <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.95− 3.87 (m, 2H), 3.85−3.81 (m, 2H), 3.79−3.70 (m, 2H), 2.66 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz) <sup>δ</sup> 161.6, 161.4, 154.0, 152.6, 126.4, 116.8, 113.1, 113.0, 101.6, 74.0, 68.0, 67.5, 65.9, 14.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{14}NaO_5$  285.0739  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 285.0740.

6-Bromo-3-(1,4-dioxan-2-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3m): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (176.6 mg, 57%) as colorless oil; IR 3072, 2932, 2361, 1745, 1659, 1456, 1244, 1110, 694 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.81 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd,  $J = 8.8$ , 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d,  $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99−3.96 (m, 2H), 3.84  $(dd, J = 11.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.73–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.23 (dd, J = 10.8, 10.0)$ Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  159.2, 152.0, 137.9, 134.2, 130.3, 127.5, 120.6, 118.3, 117.1, 72.7, 70.9, 67.3, 66.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}BrNaO_4$  332.9738  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 332.9736.

2-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-3H-benzo[f ]chromen-3-one (3n): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (163.6 mg, 58%) as colorless oil; IR 3161, 2973, 1665, 1587, 1470, 1253, 1150, 823 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub> 400 MHz) \delta 8.67$  (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J  $= 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.87 (dd,  $J = 9.6$ , 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (dd, J = 11.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09−3.98 (m, 2H), 3.89 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.79−3.73 (m, 1H), 3.32 (dd, J = 11.2, 10.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 160.0, 152.8, 134.9, 132.8, 130.4, 129.1, 129.0, 128.2, 126.1, 125.1, 121.8, 116.7, 113.3, 73.0, 71.1, 67.4, 66.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{17}H_{14}NaO_4$  305.0790 (M + Na)+ , found 305.0789.

 $2-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-4H-chromen-4-one$  (5a): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (157.8 mg, 68%) as colorless oil; IR 2962, 1654, 1493, 1398, 1115, 911 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.17 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.64 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.38  $(m, 2H)$ , 6.48 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.58–4.55 (m, 1H), 4.16 (dd, J = 11.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.99−3.96 (m, 1H), 3.91−3.81 (m, 2H), 3.76−3.72 (m, 1H), 3.70−3.60 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 178.0, 164.6, 156.1, 133.8, 125.8, 125.3, 124.0, 118.0, 109.1, 73.8, 69.3, 66.6, 66.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>12</sub> NaO<sub>4</sub> 255.0633 (M + Na)+ , found 255.0624.

6-Methyl-2-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-4H-chromen-4-one (5b): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (181.8 mg, 79%) as colorless oil; IR 2926, 1655, 1485, 1319, 1090, 818 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup>  $,~^{\mathrm{1}}$ H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)$   $\delta$  7.97 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd, J = 28.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dd, J = 8.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.11−4.06 (m, 1H), 4.00−3.94 (m, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.40−2.33 (m, 1H), 2.14−2.11 (m, 1H), 2.10−1.98 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 178.5, 169.3, 154.6, 135.0, 134.8, 125.1, 123.7, 117.7, 107.5, 76.9, 69.4, 31.1, 25.4, 20.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/ z calcd for  $C_{14}H_{15}O_3$  231.1021  $(M + H)^+$ , found 231.1014.

2-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-7-hydroxy-4H-chromen-4-one (5c): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (161.2 mg, 65%) as colorless oil; IR 3448, 2969, 1649, 1457, 1055, 1008, 823 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  10.85 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 4.58 (dd,  $J =$ 9.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (dd,  $J = 11.2$ , 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d,  $J = 12.8$ , Hz, 1H), 3.79−3.73 (m, 1H), 3.63−3.37 (m, 2H), 2.51−2.50 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  176.3, 164.3, 163.3, 157.9, 127.1, 116.6, 115.6, 108.7, 102.8, 73.6, 68.7, 66.3, 66.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}NaO_5$  271.0582 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, found 271.0583.

6-Chloro-2-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-4H-chromen-4-one (5d): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (197.5 mg, 79%) as colorless oil; IR 2956, 1655, 1437, 1317, 1061, 822 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.14–8.12 (m, 1H), 7.60 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d,  $J = 9.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.44 (d,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dd,  $J =$ 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11−4.05 (m, 1H), 4.00−3.95 (m, 1H), 2.44−2.35  $(m, 1H)$ , 2.15−2.09  $(m, 1H)$ , 2.09−2.00  $(m, 2H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 177.0, 169.9, 154.6, 133.8, 131.0, 125.2, 125.0, 119.7, 107.6, 76.7, 69.5, 31.2, 25.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}CNaO_3$  273.0294(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, found 273.0305.

2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)-4H-chromen-4-one (5e): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (170.1 mg, 78%) as colorless oil; IR 2956, 1655, 1437, 1317, 1101, 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.18 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.69–7.65 (m, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41−7.37 (m, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 4.15−4.07 (m, 4H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 178.3, 163.2, 156.3, 134.0, 125.7, 125.3, 124.1, 118.3, 109.0, 99.6, 65.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}NaO_4$  241.0477  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 241.0469.

2-(1-Ethoxyethyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (5f): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (120.0 mg, 55%) as colorless oil; IR 2926, 1660, 1465, 1323, 1120, 759 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$ 8.22 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.69−7.65 (m, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,1H),  $7.43-7.39$  (m, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 4.29 (dd, J = 13.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65−3.50 (m, 2H), 1.56 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  178.4, 169.5, 156.4, 133.7, 125.8, 125.2, 124.1, 118.1, 108.2, 74.8, 65.4, 20.3, 15.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{14}NaO_3$  241.0841  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 241.0832.

6-Chloro-2-(1,4-dioxan-2-yl)-4H-chromen-4-one (5g): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (186.2 mg, 70%) as colorless oil; IR 2923, 1655, 1472, 1374, 1117, 913 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 8.15 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (q, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 4.58 (dd,  $J = 9.6$ , 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd,  $J = 11.2, 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d,  $J = 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.92–3.82 (m, 2H), 3.77−3.70 (m, 1H), 3.66−3.61 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 176.7, 164.8, 154.4, 134.0, 131.3, 125.3, 125.0, 119.7, 109.1, 73.7, 69.3, 66.6, 66.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}$  $CINaO_4$  289.0244  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 289.0252.

2-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-6-methyl-4H-chromen-4-one (5h): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (167.3 mg, 68%) as colorless oil; IR 2965, 1655, 1485, 1327, 1116, 913 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.95 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33  $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 6.44 (d, J = 0.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.55 (dd, J = 9.6, 2.8 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 4.14 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.83 (m, 2H), 3.79–3.71 (m, 1H), 3.69–3.59 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 178.0, 164.3, 154.3, 135.3, 135.0, 125.3, 125.1, 123.7, 117.7, 108.9, 73.8, 69.3, 66.6, 66.4, 20.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{15}O_4$  247.0970  $(M + H)^+$ , found 247.0969.

2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)-4H-benzo[h]chromen-4-one (5i): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (193.0 mg, 72%) as colorless oil; IR 3066, 2894, 1655, 1466, 1322, 1125, 941 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.52 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75−7.67 (m, 2H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 4.25−4.16 (m, 4H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 178.1, 162.6, 153.8, 136.0, 129.5, 128.1, 127.2, 125.5,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>123.9, 122.4, 120.6, 120.5, 110.4, 99.7, 65.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for  $C_{16}H_{12}NaO_4$  291.0633  $(M + Na)^+$ , found 291.0627.

2-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-3,6-dimethyl-4H-chromen-4-one (5k): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (130.0 mg, 50%) as colorless oil; IR 2922, 1647, 1619, 1490, 1263, 1112, 932 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88−4.85 (m, 1H), 4.00−3.95 (m, 2H), 3.94−3.90 (m, 2H), 3.85−3.83 (m, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H) 2.17 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 178.3, 157.9, 154.1, 134.9, 134.8, 125.1, 122.1, 118.8, 117.7, 73.8, 67.8, 67.1, 66.3, 20.9, 9.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}NaO_4$  283.0946  $(M + Na)^+$ , , found 283.0949.

6-Chloro-2-(1-ethoxyethyl)-7-methyl-4H-chromen-4-one (5l): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (159.6 mg, 60%) as colorless oil; IR 2932, 2361, 2334, 1659, 1463, 1112, 952 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.16 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 4.29−4.24 (m, 1H), 3.62−3.52 (m, 2H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.53 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 177.2, 169.5, 154.6, 142.9, 131.8, 125.4, 123.1, 119.9, 108.0, 74.8, 65.4, 20.8, 20.2, 15.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{15}CINaO_3$  $289.0607 \; (M + Na)^+$ , found 289.0607.

2-(1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)-4-oxo-4H-chromene-6-carbonitrile (5m): Following the general procedure, isolated yield (185.0 mg, 72%) as colorless oil; IR 3022, 1747, 1619, 1560, 1233, 1212, 862 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.94 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, J  $= 10.0, 3.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.14 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, J = 8.0) Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd,  $J = 18.8$ , 10.4 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.73 (dd, J = 11.2, 10.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 177.5, 158.7, 153.7, 145.9, 138.7, 122.7, 119.3, 117.8, 114.9, 109.4, 73.1, 69.4, 66.7, 65.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{14}H_{11}NNaO_4$ 280.0586 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> , found 280.0586.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Spectral characterization for all compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b00800.

#### [■](http://pubs.acs.org) AUTHOR I[NFORMATION](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b00800)

#### Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: ahz@ujs.edu.cn. \*E-mail: geh@iupui.edu.

# Author [Contributions](mailto:ahz@ujs.edu.cn)

B.N. and [W.Z. contribut](mailto:geh@iupui.edu)ed equally.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

A.Z. gratefully acknowledges Jiangsu University for financial support (1281290006).

# ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Wencel-Delord, J.; Drö ge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4740. (b) Campeau, L.-C.; Fagnou, K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1058. (c) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D. H.; Yu, J. Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094. (d) Zhou, B.; Yang, Y.; Li, Y. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5163. (e) Giri, R.; Shi, B.-F.; Engle, K. M.; Maugel, N.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3242. (f) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V.; Hofmann, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 123, 6503. (g) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11212. (h) Martinez, C.; Alvarez, R.; Aurrecoechea, J. M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1083. (i) Yoo, K. S.; Yoon, C. H.; Jung, K. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16384. (2) (a) Li, Z.; Fan, F.; Yang, J.; Liu, Z.-Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3396. (b) Rout, S. K.; Guin, S.; Ghara, K. K.; Banerjee, A.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3982. (c) Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 42, 335. (d) Li,

Z.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3672. (e) Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4242. (f) Scheuermann, C. J. Chem.--Asian J. 2010, 5, 436. (g) Xie, J.; Huang, Z. Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 122, 10379. (h) Wencel-Delord, J.; Nimphius, C.; Wang, H.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 13001. (i) Guin, S.; Rout, S. K.; Banerjee, A.; Nandi, S.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5294. (j) Liu, H.; Cao, L.; Sun, J.; Fossey, J. S.; Deng, W.-P. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2674. (k) Zhang, C.; Tang, C.; Jiao, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3464. (l) Neel, A. J.; Hehn, J. R. P.; Tripet, P. F.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14044.

(3) (a) Kim, G.-J.; Lee, K.; Kwon, H.; Kim, H.-J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2799. (b) Schiedel, M. S.; Briehn, C. A.; Bäuerle, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4677. (c) Janecki, T.; Bodalski, R. Synthesis 1989, 1989, 506. (d) Schio, L.; Chatreaux, F.; Klich, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1543. (e) Wu, J.; Liao, Y.; Yang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3642. (f) Tang, Z. Y.; Hu, Q. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1635. (g) Wu, J.; Sun, X.; Zhang, L. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 796. (h) Klier, L.; Bresser, T.; Nigst, T. A.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Knochel, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13584. (i) Khoobi, M.; Alipour, M.; Zarei, S.; Jafarpour, F.; Shafiee, A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2985.

(4) (a) Zhou, P.; Jiang, Y.-J.; Zou, J.-P.; Zhang, W. Synthesis 2012, 44, 1043. (b) He, T.; Yu, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, L.; Wang, M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5016. (c) Jiao, L. Y.; Oestreich, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 10845. (d) Singh, K. N.; Singh, P.; Kaur, A.; Singh, P. Synlett 2012, 23, 760. (e) Kuhl, N.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8230. (f) Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Z.-Q. Org. Lett. 2013, 16, 382. (g) Liu, F.; Liu, K.; Yuan, X.; Li, C. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 10231. (h) Shuai, Q.; Yang, L.; Guo, X.; Basle, O.; Li, C.-J. ́ J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12212.

(5) (a) Balunas, M. J.; Kinghorn, A. D. Life Sci. 2005, 78, 431. (b) Birari, R. B.; Bhutani, K. K. Drug Discovery Today 2007, 12, 879. (c) Lee, M.-L.; Schneider, G. J. Comb. Chem. 2001, 3, 284. (d) Kostova, I.; Bhatia, S.; Grigorov, P.; Balkansky, S.; Parmar, V. S.; Prasad, A. K.; Saso, L. Curr. Med. Chem. 2011, 18, 3929.

(6) (a) Nussbaumer, P.; Winiski, A. P.; Billich, A. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 5091. (b) Nussbaumer, P.; Lehr, P.; Billich, A. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4310. (c) Erickson, R. H.; Natalie, K. J., Jr.; Bock, W.; Lu, Z.; Farzin, F.; Sherrill, R. G.; Meloni, D. J.; Patch, R. J.; Rzesotarski, W. J. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1526. (d) Musa, M. A.; Cooperwood, J. S.; Khan, M. O. F. Curr. Med. Chem. 2008, 15, 2664. (e) Zhao, H.; Neamati, N.; Hong, H.; Mazumder, A.; Wang, S.; Sunder, S.; Milne, G. W.; Pommier, Y.; Burke, T. R. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 242. (f) Yano, S.; Tachibana, H.; Yamada, K. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 1812.

(7) (a) Min, M.; Hong, S. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9613. (b) Min, M.; Kim, Y.; Hong, S. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 196. (c) Min, M.; Choe, H.; Hong, S. Asian J. Chem. 2012, 1, 47. (d) Kim, D.; Min, M.; Hong, S. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4021. (e) Jafarpour, F.; Hazrati, H.; Mohasselyazdi, N.; Khoobi, M.; Shafiee, A. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 10935. (f) Chen, G.; Tokunaga, N.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2285. (g) Raboin, J.-C.; Beley, M.; Kirsch, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1175. (h) Samanta, R.; Narayan, R.; Antonchick, A. P. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6108.

(8) Narayan, R.; Antonchick, A. P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4568. (9) Cao, X.-H.; Pan, X.; Zhou, P.-J.; Zou, J.-P.; Asekun, O. T. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3359.

(10) Zhao, J.; Fang, H.; Qian, P.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5342.

(11) (a) Wang, L.; Sha, W.; Dai, Q.; Feng, X.; Wu, W.; Peng, H.; Chen, B.; Cheng, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2088. (b) Rout, S. K.; Guin, S.; Ali, W.; Gogoi, A.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3086. (c) Liu, D.; Liu, C.; Li, H.; Lei, A. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3623. (d) Guo, S.-r.; He, W.-m.; Xiang, J.-n.; Yuan, Y.-Q. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8578. (12) (a) Sun, K.; Wang, X.; Li, G.; Zhu, Z.; Jiang, Y.; Xiao, B. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12880. (b) Wei, W. T.; Zhou, M. B.; Fan, J. H.; Liu, W.; Song, R. J.; Liu, Y.; Hu, M.; Xie, P.; Li, J. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3638. (c) Cao, J.-J.; Zhu, T.-H.; Wang, S.-Y.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Wang, X.; Ji, S.-J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 6439.